

Gauge theories, Simple Groups and Integrable Systems

M.A.Olshanetsky,
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia,
olshanet@itep.ru

Abstract

In this review we discuss interrelations between classical Hitchin integrable systems, monodromy preserving equations and topological field theories coming from N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories developed by Gukov, Kapustin and Witten. In particular, we define the systems related to bundles with nontrivial characteristic classes and discuss relations of the characteristic classes with monopole configurations in the Yang-Mills theory.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Classical Integrable Systems	2
2.1	Integrability	2
2.2	Lax representation	4
3	Holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces	5
3.1	Global description of holomorphic bundles	5
3.2	Local description of holomorphic bundles and modification.	7
4	Higgs bundles and integrable systems.	9
4.1	Higgs bundles on Riemann surfaces with marked points	10
4.2	Hitchin integrable systems	11
4.3	Symplectic Hecke Correspondence	12
4.4	Examples	13
5	Monodromy preserving equations	14
5.1	Flat bundles and deformed complex structures	14
5.2	Moduli space	16
5.3	Scaling limit.	17
6	Gauge theory description	19
6.1	2-d self-dual equations	19
6.2	The moduli space	20

7	Bogomolny equation	21
7.1	Definition	21
7.2	Boundary conditions and gauge symmetry	22
7.3	Gauge fixing	23
7.4	Scalar case.	23
7.5	Modification	24
8	Twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills Theory and Integrable systems.	25
9	Appendix. A piece of group theory [40, 41]	26

1 Introduction

This brief review is my tribute to Lev Borisovich Okun. He inspired my interest to group-theoretical methods in gauge theories.

There are many aspects of interrelations between finite-dimensional integrable systems and gauge theories. For quantum systems see [1, 2, 3] and references therein. Here we consider this connection in the classical case, which was described first in two fundamental papers of Hitchin [4, 5]. It was demonstrated there that the self-duality equation can be used to derive a wide class of finite-dimensional classical integrable systems - the so-called Hitchin systems, as well as their non-autonomous version - the monodromy preserving equations. We will consider this construction here in details. Later it was shown that some well-known integrable systems can be derived in this way [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

It was found recently that this construction appears in the reformulation of the geometric Langlands program in terms of $N = 4$ SUSY Yang-Mills theory [14, 15, 16]. As a by product, it was demonstrated in [14] that some important equivalence in integrable systems - the so-called the Symplectic Hecke Correspondence [12] can be explained in terms of the t'Hooft operators. It be a subject of the last parts of the paper.

Acknowledgments.

The work was supported by grants RFBR-09-02-00393, RFBR-09-01-92437-CE_a, NSh-3036.2008.2.

2 Classical Integrable Systems

2.1 Integrability

We consider here the integrable systems of classical mechanics [17]. In this case the notion of complete integrability can be formulated correctly, while in a field theory there are subtleties in its definition.

Consider a smooth symplectic manifold $\mathcal{R}$ of $\dim(\mathcal{R}) = 2n$. It means that there exists a closed non-degenerate two-form ω , and the inverse bivector π ($\omega_{a,b}\pi^{bc} = \delta_a^c$), such that the space of smooth functions $C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ becomes a Poisson algebra with respect to the Poisson brackets

$$\{F, G\} = \langle dF | \pi | dG \rangle = \partial_a F \pi^{ab} \partial_b G \equiv \partial_a F \pi^{ab} \partial_b G. \quad (2.1)$$

In terms of the bi-vector π^{ab} the Jacobi identity for the brackets assumes the form

$$\pi^{ab} \partial_b \pi^{cd} + \pi^{cb} \partial_b \pi^{da} + \pi^{db} \partial_b \pi^{ca} = 0.$$

Example 1

For $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} = \{(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n)\}$ define

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E \\ -E & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (2.1) is the Darboux brackets. These brackets can be defined locally on any cotangent bundle T^*M to a manifold M ($\dim M = n$), where $\{(p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n)\}$ are local coordinates.

Example 2

Consider a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\{e_a, a = 1, \dots, n\}$ ($n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$) be the set of its generators with the commutation relations

$$[e_a, e_b] = C_{ab}^c e_c.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}^*$ be dual to $\mathfrak{g}$ space (the Lie coalgebra) with respect to a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Define a basis $\{E^b\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ such that

$$\langle e_a, E^b \rangle = \delta_a^b.$$

Let $F(\mathbf{S}), G(\mathbf{S})$ ($\mathbf{S} = S_a E^a$) be two functions on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Their variations dF, dG are elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined as

$$F(\mathbf{S} + \varepsilon) = F(\mathbf{S}) + \langle \varepsilon, dF(\mathbf{S}) \rangle.$$

Define the Poisson brackets as

$$\{F(\mathbf{S}), G(\mathbf{S})\} = \langle \mathbf{S}, [dF(\mathbf{S}), dG(\mathbf{S})] \rangle.$$

The Jacobi identity for them follows from the Jacobi identity for $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, for the linear functions $S_a = \langle \mathbf{S}, e_a \rangle$ we find

$$\{S_a, S_b\} = C_{ab}^c S_c.$$

Thus, we come to the linear (Lie-Poisson) brackets on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. These brackets are degenerated. The Casimir functions C_s Poisson commute with functions on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. The variety $C_s = \text{const}$ is a coadjoint orbit (A.11). It is a symplectic manifold with the form

$$\omega = \langle \delta(S_0 g^{-1}) \wedge \delta g \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Any $H \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ defines a Hamiltonian vector field on $\mathcal{R}$

$$H \rightarrow \langle dH | \pi = \partial_a H \pi^{ab} \partial_b = \{H, \cdot\}.$$

A Hamiltonian system is a triple $(\mathcal{R}, \pi, H)$ with the Hamiltonian flow

$$\partial_t x^a = \{H, x^a\} = \partial_b H \pi^{ba}.$$

A Hamiltonian system is called *completely integrable*, if it satisfies the following conditions

- there exist n Poisson commuting Hamiltonians on $\mathcal{R}$ (*integrals of motion*) $I_1, \dots, I_n$
- Since the integrals commute the set

$$T_c = \{I_j = c_j, (j = 1, \dots, n)\} \quad (2.3)$$

is invariant with respect to the Hamiltonian flows $\{I_j, \cdot\}$. Then being restricted to T_c , $I_j(x)$ should be functionally independent, i.e. $\det(\partial_a I_b)(x) \neq 0$ for almost all $x \in T_c$.

In this way we come to the hierarchy of commuting flows on $\mathcal{R}$

$$\partial_{t_j} \mathbf{x} = \{I_j(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}\}, \quad (j = 1, \dots, n). \quad (2.4)$$

T_c (2.3) is a *Lagrangian* submanifold $T_c \subset \mathcal{R}$, i.e. ω vanishes on T_c . If T_c is compact and connected, then it is diffeomorphic to a n -dimensional torus. Torus T_c is called *the Liouville torus*. Locally there is a projection

$$p : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow B, \quad (2.5)$$

where the Liouville tori are generic fibers and the base of fibration B is parameterized by the values of the integrals. The coordinates on a Liouville torus ("the angle" variables) along with dual variables on B ("the action" variables) describe a linearized motion on the torus. Globally, the picture can be more complicated. For some values of c_j T_c ceases to be a submanifold. In this way the action-angle variables are local coordinates.

Here we consider a complex analog of this picture (see, for example, [18]). We assume that $\mathcal{R}$ is a complex algebraic manifold and the symplectic form ω is a $(2,0)$ form, i.e. locally in the coordinates $(z^1, \bar{z}^1, \dots, z^l, \bar{z}^l)$ the form is represented as $\omega = \omega_{a,b} dz^a \wedge dz^b$. General fibers of (2.5) are *abelian subvarieties* of $\mathcal{R}$, i.e. they are complex tori $\mathbb{C}^l/\Lambda$, where the lattice Λ satisfies the Riemann conditions [20]. Integrable systems in this situation are called *algebraically integrable systems*.

2.2 Lax representation

The commonly accepted method for constructing and investigating integrable systems is based on *the Lax representation*. What is imported for us is that it reveals interrelations between classical integrable systems and gauge theories.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra. The dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{g}$ by means of a fixed Killing form $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Introduce an additional parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}$. It is called *the spectral parameter*. The Lax matrix $L(\mathbf{x}, z)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and depends on z and on the dynamical variables $\mathbf{x}$. Consider the integrable hierarchy (2.4). Assume that the commuting flows (2.4) can be rewritten in the matrix form

$$\partial_{t_j} L(\mathbf{x}, z) = [L(\mathbf{x}, z), M_j(\mathbf{x}, z)], \quad (2.6)$$

where $M_1(x, z), \dots, M_n(x, z)$ be a set of n matrices in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The system (2.6) looks over-determined since we have infinite number of equations upon expanding $L(\mathbf{x}, z)$ and $M_j(\mathbf{x}, z)$ in Laurent series in z . In fact, as it will be explained later, $L(\mathbf{x}, z)$ and $M_j(\mathbf{x}, z)$ are defined globally as meromorphic functions (more exactly sections of adjoint bundles) on a Riemann surface Σ_g of genus g . The space of this sections is finite-dimensional and coincides with the phase space $\mathcal{R}$.

Let two integrable systems be described by two isomorphic sets of the action-angle variables. In this case the integrable systems can be considered as equivalent. Establishing equivalence in terms of angle-action variables is troublesome, but in terms of (2.6) there it takes the form of the gauge equivalence. Let f be a non-degenerate z -dependent matrix. The transformation

$$L' = f^{-1} L f, \quad M'_j = f^{-1} \partial_{t_j} f + f^{-1} M_j f. \quad (2.7)$$

is called the gauge transformation because it preserves the Lax form (2.6). The flows (2.6) can be considered as special gauge transformations

$$L(t_1, \dots, t_l) = f^{-1}(t_1, \dots, t_l) L_0 f(t_1, \dots, t_l),$$

where L_0 is independent on times and defines an initial data, and $M_j = f^{-1}\partial_{t_j}f$. Moreover, it follows from this representation that the quantities $\text{tr}(L(\mathbf{x}, z))^j$ are preserved by the flows and thereby can produce, in principle, Poisson commuting integrals of motion. In what follows we will construct L in a such way that that $\text{tr}(L(\mathbf{x}, z))^j$ being expanded in the basis of meromorphic functions on Σ_g become commuting integrals.

As we mentioned above, it is reasonable to consider two integrable systems to be equivalent if their Lax matrices are related by a non-degenerate gauge transformation.

The gauge invariance of the Lax matrices allows one to define the spectral curve

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, z \in \Sigma) \mid \det(\lambda - L(x, z)) = 0\}. \quad (2.8)$$

The Jacobian of $\mathcal{C}$ [20] is an abelian variety of dimension g , where g is the genus of $\mathcal{C}$. If $g = n = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{R}$ then $\mathcal{J}$ plays the role of the Liouville torus and the system is algebraically integrable. In generic cases $g > n$ and to prove algebraic integrability one should find additional reductions of the Jacobians, leading to abelian spaces of dimension n .

3 Holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces

3.1 Global description of holomorphic bundles

Consider a Riemann surface Σ_g of genus g . Let $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ be a fundamental group of Σ_g . It has $2g$ generators $\{a_\alpha, b_\alpha\}$, corresponding to the fundamental cycles of Σ_g with the relation

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^g [b_\alpha, a_\alpha] = 1, \quad (3.1)$$

where $[b_\alpha, a_\alpha] = b_\alpha a_\alpha b_\alpha^{-1} a_\alpha^{-1}$ is the group commutator.

Consider a finite-dimensional representation π of a simple complex Lie group G in a space V . Let E_G is a principle G -bundle over Σ_g . We define a holomorphic G -bundle $E = E_G \times_G V$ over Σ_g using $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$. The bundle E has the space of sections $\Gamma(E) = \{s\}$, where s takes values in V . Let ρ be a representation of π_1 in V such that $\rho(\pi_1) \subset \pi(G)$. The bundle E is defined by transition matrices of its sections around the fundamental cycles. Let $z \in \Sigma_g$ be a fixed point. Then

$$s(a_\alpha z) = \rho(a_\alpha) s(z), \quad s(b_\beta z) = \rho(b_\beta) s(z). \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, the sections are defined by their quasi-periodicities on the fundamental cycles. Due to (3.1) we have

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^g [\rho(b_\alpha), \rho(a_\alpha)] = Id. \quad (3.3)$$

The G -bundles described in this way are topologically trivial. To consider less trivial situation one should consider G -bundles where G has a non-trivial center. Centers of simple Lie groups are finite abelian groups (see Table 1. in Appendix). Let $\bar{G}$ be a complex simple simply-connected Lie group. It can have a maximal nontrivial center. The centers are cyclic groups except $\text{Spin}_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$. The factor group $G^{ad} = \bar{G}/\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G})$ is the adjoint group. The most known example is $\bar{G} = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Its compact form is $\text{SU}(2)$ and the center is $\mu_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(-1, -1)$. $G^{ad} = \text{SU}(2)/\mu_2 = \text{SO}(3)$.

The adjoint representations of $\bar{G}$ and G^{ad} coincide. In the cases A_{n-1} , (n is a prime number), B_n , C_n , E_6 and E_7 only $\bar{G}$ and G^{ad} there are only $\bar{G}$ and G^{ad} two groups with the same Lie

algebras. In the rest cases there exist intermediate groups $G_{ad} \subset G \subset \bar{G}$, since $\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G})$ has a non-trivial subgroups and one can factorize $\bar{G}$ with respect to these subgroups. Consider, for example, $\bar{G} = Spin_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$. It has a non-trivial center

$$\mathcal{Z}(Spin_{4n}) = (\mu_2^L \times \mu_2^R), \quad \mu_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.4)$$

where three subgroups can be described in terms of their generators as

$$\mu_2^L = \{(1, 1), (-1, 1)\}, \quad \mu_2^R = \{(1, 1), (1, -1)\}, \quad \mu_2^{diag} = \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}.$$

Therefore there are three intermediate subgroups between $\bar{G} = Spin_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$ and G^{ad}

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Spin_{4n} & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ Spin_{4n}^R = Spin_{4n}/\Gamma^L & & SO(4n) = Spin_{4n}/\Gamma^{diag} & & Spin_{4n}^L = Spin_{4n}/\Gamma^R \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & G^{ad} = SO(4n)/(\mu_2^L \times \mu_2^R) & & \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

Assume now that G has a non-trivial center $\mathcal{Z}(G)$. Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$. Replace (3.3) by

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^g [\rho(b_\alpha), \rho(a_\alpha)] = \zeta. \quad (3.6)$$

Then the pairs $(\hat{\rho}(a_\alpha), \hat{\rho}(b_\beta))$, satisfying (3.6) cannot describe transition matrices of G -bundle, but can serve as transition matrices of $G^{ad} = G/\mathcal{Z}(G)$ -bundle. The bundle E in this case is topologically non-trivial and ζ is called *the characteristic class* $\mathbf{c}(E)$ of E .¹

For $Spin_{4n}$ bundles $\mathbf{c}(E) = \zeta$ in (3.6) can be chosen as elements from three subgroups μ^L , μ^R , or μ^{diag} . Then they give rise to three characteristic classes that are obstructions to lift $Spin_{4n}^R$, $Spin_{4n}^L$ and $SO(4n)$ bundles to $Spin_{4n}$ (see (3.5)). The latter obstruction is related to the Stiefel-Whitney characteristic class [19].

The transition matrices can be deformed without breaking (3.3) or (3.6). Among these deformations are the gauge transformations

$$\rho(a_\alpha) \rightarrow f^{-1} \rho(a_\alpha) f, \quad \rho(b_\beta) \rightarrow f^{-1} \rho(b_\beta) f.$$

The moduli space of holomorphic bundles $\mathcal{M}_g$ are the space of deformations defined up to the gauge transformations. Its dimension is independent on the characteristic class and is equal

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_g) = (g-1) \dim(G). \quad (3.7)$$

It means that the nonempty moduli spaces arise for the holomorphic bundles over surfaces of genus $g > 1$.

To include in the construction the surfaces with $g = 0, 1$ consider a Riemann surface with n marked points and attribute E with a special structure at the marked points. Let B be a Borel subgroup of G . We assume that the gauge transformation f preserves the flag variety $Fl = G/B$ (see Appendix). It means that $f \in B$ at the marked points. It follows from (A.10) that

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}) = (g-1) \dim(G) + n \dim(Fl) = (g-1) \dim(G) + n \sum_{j=1}^l (d_j - 1). \quad (3.8)$$

¹Strictly speaking the characteristic class is element of the cohomology $H^2(\Sigma_g, \mathcal{Z}(G))$. But in fact $H^2(\Sigma_g, \mathcal{Z}(G)) = \mathcal{Z}(G)$.

In the important for applications case $g = 1$, $n = 1$ $\dim(\mathcal{M}_{g,1}) = \dim(Fl)$.

Holomorphic sections can be described in another terms by a connection $d_{\bar{A}} = \bar{\partial} + \bar{A}$. Assume that $\bar{A}$ has the quasi-periodicities

$$d_{\bar{A}(a_\alpha z)} = \rho^{-1}(a_\alpha) d_{\bar{A}(z)} \rho(a_\alpha), \quad d_{\bar{A}(b_\beta z)} = \rho^{-1}(b_\beta) d_{\bar{A}(z)} \rho(b_\beta). \quad (3.9)$$

The holomorphic sections are those that are annihilated by $d_{\bar{A}}$

$$d_{\bar{A}} s = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

3.2 Local description of holomorphic bundles and modification.

There exists another description of a holomorphic bundles over Σ_g , described, for example, in [21]. Let w_0 be a fixed point on Σ_g and D_{w_0} ($D_{w_0}^\times$) be a disc (punctured disc) with a center w_0 with a local coordinate z . Consider a G -bundle $E = E_G \times_G V$ over Σ_g . It can be trivialized over D and over $\Sigma_g \setminus w_0$. These two trivializations are related by a G transformation $\pi(g)$, holomorphic in $D_{w_0}^\times$, where D_{w_0} and $\Sigma_g \setminus w_0$ overlap. If we consider another trivialization over D then g is multiplied from the right by $h \in G$. Likewise, a trivialization over $\Sigma_g \setminus w_0$ is determined up to the multiplication on the left $g \rightarrow hg$, where $h \in G$ is holomorphic on $\Sigma_g \setminus w_0$. Thus, the set of isomorphism classes G -bundles are described as a double-coset

$$G(\Sigma_g \setminus w_0) \setminus G(D_{w_0}^\times) / G(D_{w_0}), \quad (3.11)$$

where $G(U)$ denotes the group of G -valued holomorphic functions on U .

To define a G -bundle over Σ_g the transition matrix g should have a trivial monodromy around w_0 $g(ze^{2\pi i}) = g(z)$ on the punctured disc $D_{w_0}^\times$. But if the monodromy is nontrivial

$$g(ze^{2\pi i}) = \zeta g(z), \quad \zeta \in \mathcal{Z}(G),$$

then $g(z)$ is not a transition matrix. But it can be considered as a transition matrix for the G^{ad} -bundle, since in the group G^{ad} the center does not act. This relation is similar to (3.6).

Our aim is to construct a new bundle $\tilde{E}$ with a non-trivial characteristic from E . This procedure is called a *modification* of bundle E . Smooth gauge transformations cannot change a topological type of bundles. The modification is defined by a singular gauge transformation at some point, say w_0 . Since it is a local transformation we replace Σ_g by a sphere $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1$, where w_0 corresponds to the point $z = 0$ on $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Since z is local coordinate, we can replace $G(\Sigma_g \setminus w_0)$ in (3.11) by the group $G(\mathbb{C}((z)))$. It is the group of Laurent series with G valued coefficients. Similarly, $G(D_{w_0})$ is replaced on $G(\mathbb{C}[[z]])$. It is a power series. It is clear from this description of the moduli space of bundles over $\mathbb{CP}^1$ that it is a finite dimensional space.

Transform $g(z)$ by multiplication from the right on $h(z)$ $g(z) \rightarrow g(z)h(z)$ that singular at $z = 0$. It is the singular gauge transformation mentioned above. Due to definition of $g(z)$, $h(z)$ is defined up to the multiplication from the right on $f(z) \in G(\mathbb{C}[[z]])$. On the other hand, since $g(z)$ is defined up to the multiplication from the right on element $G(\mathbb{C}[[z]])$, $h(z)$ is element of the double coset

$$G(\mathbb{C}[[z]]) \setminus G(\mathbb{C}((z))) / G(\mathbb{C}[[z]]).$$

In particular $h(z)$ is defined up to conjugation. It means that as a representative of this double coset one can take a co-character (A.21) $h(z) \in t(G)$.

$$g(z) \rightarrow g(z)z^\gamma, \quad (z^\gamma = \mathbf{e}(\ln(z)\gamma)), \quad (3.12)$$

where γ belongs to the coweight lattice ($\gamma = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l) \in P^\vee$) (A.5). The monodromy of z^γ is an element of $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{G})$ (A.24). In this way we come to a new bundle $\tilde{E}$ with a non-trivial characteristic class. The bundle $\tilde{E}$ is called *the modified bundle*. It is defined by the new transition matrix (3.12). If $\gamma \in Q^\vee$ then $\zeta = 1$ and the modified bundle is trivial.

This transformation of the bundle E corresponds to transformations of its sections $\tilde{E}$

$$\Gamma(E) \xrightarrow{\Xi(\gamma)} \Gamma(\tilde{E}), \quad (\Xi(\gamma) \sim \pi(z^{m_1}, z^{m_2} \dots z^{m_l})). \quad (3.13)$$

We call this modification of type $\gamma = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_l)$. Another name of the modification is *the Hecke transformation*. Usually the algebra of functions on double coset of type $K \setminus G/K$ is called a Hecke algebra. The case $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ and $K = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ leads to the abstract ring of Hecke operators in the theory of modular forms, which gave the name to Hecke algebras in general. In field-theoretical terms the Hecke transformation corresponds to *the t'Hooft operator*, generating by monopoles (see below).

Consider the action of modification on sections (3.13) in more details. Choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and the corresponding weight basis ($|\nu_1\rangle, \dots, |\nu_M\rangle$) in V ($M = \dim(V)$). It means that for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{H}$ $\pi(\mathbf{x})|\nu_j\rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \nu_j \rangle |\nu_j\rangle$. The weights belong to the weight diagram defined by the highest weight $\nu \in P$ of π

$$\nu_j = \nu - \sum_{\alpha_m \in \Pi} c_j^m \alpha_m, \quad c_j^k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad c_j^k \geq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Let us choose a trivialization of E over D by fixing this basis. Thereby, the bundle E over D is represented by a sum of N line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_M$. Cartan subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ acts in this basis in a diagonal way: for $\mathbf{s} = (|\nu_1\rangle, \dots, |\nu_M\rangle)$

$$\pi(h) : |\nu_j\rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, \nu_j) |\nu_j\rangle, \quad h = \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad (\mathbf{e}(x) = \exp(2\pi i \mathbf{x})).$$

Assume for simplicity that in (3.12) $g(z) = 1$. Then the modification transformation (3.13) of the sections assumes the form

$$\Xi(\gamma) : |\nu_j\rangle \rightarrow z^{\langle \gamma, \nu_j \rangle} |\nu_j\rangle, \quad j = 1, \dots, M. \quad (3.15)$$

It means that away from the point $z = 0$ where the transformations are singular sections of $\tilde{E}$ is the same as E . But near $z = 0$ they are singular with the leading terms $|\nu_j\rangle \sim c_j z^{-\langle \gamma, \nu_j \rangle}$.

It sufficient to consider the case when $\gamma = \varpi_i^\vee$ is a fundamental coweight and π is a fundamental representation $\nu = \varpi_k$. Then from (3.14) we have

$$z^{\langle \gamma, \nu_j \rangle} = z^{\langle \varpi_i^\vee, \varpi_k - \sum_{\alpha_m \in \Pi} c_j^m \alpha_m \rangle}.$$

The weight ϖ_k can be expanded in the basis of simple roots $\varpi_k = \sum_k A_{km} \alpha_m$, where A_{jk} is the inverse Cartan matrix ($A_{jk} a_{ki} = \delta_{ji}$). Its matrix elements are rational numbers with the denominator $N = \text{ord}(\mathcal{Z})$. Then from (A.5)

$$z^{\langle \gamma, \nu_j \rangle} = z^{A_{ik} - c_j^i}, \quad c_j^i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It implies that the modification can produce a non-trivial branching of sections $z^{\langle \gamma, \nu_j \rangle} \sim z^{A_{ik}}$ if A_{ik} is non-integer. Note, that the branching does not happen for G^{ad} -bundles, because the corresponding weights ν_j belong to the root lattice Q and thereby $\langle \gamma, \nu_j \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is possible to go around the branching by multiplying the sections on a scalar matrix of the form $\text{diag}(z^{-A_{ik}}, \dots, z^{-A_{ik}})$. This matrix no longer belongs to the representation of $\tilde{G}$, because

it has the determinant $z^{-MA_{ik}}$ ($M = \dim V$). It can be checked that in particular cases that MA_{ik} is an integer number, though I don't know how to prove it in general case.

If $G = \mathrm{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ the scalar matrix belongs to $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. Thereby, after this transformation we come to a $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle. But this bundle is topologically non-trivial, because it has a non-trivial degree of its determinant bundle. In this way the characteristic classes for the $\mathrm{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles are related to another topological characteristic, namely to degrees of the $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles.

It is possible to construct an analog of $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ for other simple groups [24]. We call them the *conformal versions* of simple groups, since they can be described as groups preserving some forms up to dilatations, likewise $\mathrm{GL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ preserve the volume form in $\mathbb{C}^N$ up to multiplications. In [24] we describe also interrelations between the characteristic classes for simple groups and degrees of the related determinant bundles of their conformal versions.

4 Higgs bundles and integrable systems.

The Higgs field Φ is an element of $\Omega^0(\Sigma_g, \mathrm{ad}(E) \otimes K_\Sigma)$, where K_Σ is the canonical line bundle of Σ_g . It means that locally Φ is represented in the form $\Phi(z, \bar{z})dz$, where Φ takes value in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Assume that Φ satisfies (3.9). The pair

$$\mathcal{R}^H = (\Phi, d_{\bar{A}}) \quad (4.1)$$

is called the *Higgs G -bundle* over Σ_g .

The fields $(\Phi, d_{\bar{A}})$ are coordinates in the infinite dimensional cotangent bundle $T^*\{E\}$ to the space of holomorphic bundles $\{E\}$ defined by $d_{\bar{A}}$. The Higgs field plays the role of the cotangent vector.

The Killing form $(\ , \)$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ equips $\mathcal{R}^H$ with the symplectic form

$$\Omega = \int_{\Sigma_g} (\delta\Phi \wedge \delta\bar{A}). \quad (4.2)$$

It is a canonical symplectic form on the cotangent bundle $\mathcal{R}^H$.

Introduce a complex structure in the infinite-dimensional space $\mathcal{R}^H$. The fields Φ and $\bar{A}$ are holomorphic coordinates in this structure. Then Ω is the $(2, 0)$ -form in this structure, what we need for the algebraic integrability.

The form (4.2) is invariant with respect to the gauge transformations $\mathcal{G}$

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi^f = f^{-1}\Phi f, \quad \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}^f = f^{-1}\bar{A}f + f^{-1}\bar{A}f. \quad (4.3)$$

Their infinitesimal form

$$\delta_\epsilon \bar{A} = \bar{\partial}\epsilon + [\bar{A}, \epsilon], \quad \delta_\epsilon \Phi = [\Phi, \epsilon], \quad \epsilon \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}).$$

is generating by an analog of the Gauss law. Namely, it is easy to check that

$$\delta_\epsilon \bar{A} = \{\Upsilon, \bar{A}\}, \quad \delta_\epsilon \Phi = \{\Upsilon, \Phi\}, \quad \Upsilon = \int_{\Sigma_g} (\epsilon, d_{\bar{A}}\Phi),$$

where $\{ \ , \ }$ is the Darboux brackets in $\mathcal{R}^H$, inverse to Ω . Putting

$$d_{\bar{A}}\Phi = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

we impose the first class constraints. In a general setting the Gauss law is called *the moment constraints*. The operator $d_{\bar{A}}$ defines a complex structure on E and (4.4) means that Φ is a holomorphic section of $ad(E) \otimes K_{\Sigma}$ (3.10).

Along with a gauge fixing constraints, the moment constraints form the second class constraints. Physical degrees of freedom (the moduli space of the Higgs bundles) are defined as

$$\mathcal{R}^H(\Sigma_g)/(\text{Gauss law}) + (\text{gauge fixing}) = (d_{\bar{A}}\Phi = 0)/\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{R}^H(\Sigma_g)/\mathcal{G}.$$

The quotient space with respect to these constraints is called *the symplectic quotient*. We call it the moduli space of Higgs bundles

$$T^*\mathcal{M}_g = \mathcal{R}^H(\Sigma_g)/\mathcal{G}. \quad (4.5)$$

This symplectic quotient space is finite-dimensional

$$\dim(T^*\mathcal{M}_g) = 2(g-1)\dim(G).$$

Its dimension is twice of dimension of $\mathcal{M}_g$ (3.7).

4.1 Higgs bundles on Riemann surfaces with marked points

To deal with a sphere $g = 0$ and a torus $g = 1$ consider Riemann surfaces with marked points. Let $\Sigma_{g,n}$ be a Riemann surface of genus g with n marked points. Attribute coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O}_a$ to the marked point z_a ($a = 1, \dots, n$). The space

$$\mathcal{R}^H = \{(\bar{A}, \Phi, \mathcal{O}_a, a = 1, \dots, n)\} \quad (4.6)$$

is symplectic with the form

$$\Omega + \sum_{a=1}^n \omega_a,$$

where ω_a is the symplectic form on $\mathcal{O}_a$ (2.2). It is possible to introduce local holomorphic coordinates on the coadjoint orbits. We do not need in their description.

The gauge transformations (4.3) should be completed by

$$\mathbf{S}_a \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_a^f = f^{-1}(z_a, \bar{z}_a) \mathbf{S}_a f(z_a, \bar{z}_a).$$

It can be find that the moment constraints in this case take the form

$$d_{\bar{A}}\Phi = \sum_a \mathbf{S}_a \delta(z_a), \quad \mathbf{S}_a \in \mathcal{O}_a, \quad (4.7)$$

where $de(z_a)$ is the delta-function with support at z_a . In other words Φ is a meromorphic section of $EndE \otimes K$ with simple poles

$$Res \Phi|_{z=z_a} = \mathbf{S}_a.$$

Dimension of the symplectic quotient in this case is

$$\dim(T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}) = 2(g-1)\dim(G) + \sum_{a=1}^n \dim(\mathcal{O}_a) = (2(g-1) + n)\dim(G) - nl, \quad (4.8)$$

where $l = rank G$.

4.2 Hitchin integrable systems

Since $T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is a result of symplectic reduction it is a symplectic manifold. The corresponding Poisson brackets are Dirac brackets [23] obtained from the canonical brackets on $\mathcal{R}^H$. To construct an integrable hierarchy one should find $N = \frac{1}{2} \dim(T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n})$ independent Poisson commuting Hamiltonians. They are constructed from invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{g}$ making from the Higgs field [4]. The invariant polynomials have the form $\text{tr}(\Phi^{d_j})$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$) where d_j are degree of invariants of $\mathfrak{g}$. For D_{2k} there are two invariants of order k . In addition to $\text{tr}(\Phi^k)$ it is the pfaffian of Φ . The polynomials are $(d_j, 0)$ -forms $(\Phi^{d_j}) \in \Omega^{(d_j, 0)}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ with holomorphic poles of order d_j and less at the marked points. To construct Hamiltonians one should integrate them over $\Sigma_{g,n}$. For this purpose one should prepare $(1, 1)$ -forms from the $(d_j, 0)$ -forms. It can be done by smooth $(1 - d_j, 1)$ -differentials vanishing at the marked points. Locally, they are represented as $\mu_j = \mu_j(z, \bar{z}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\right)^{j-1} \otimes d\bar{z}$. In other words μ_j are $(0, 1)$ -forms taking values in j degree $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes j}$ of vector fields on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. For example, μ_2 is the Beltrami differential. The product $(\Phi^j)\mu_j$ can be integrated over the surface.

The space $\Omega^{(1-d_j, 1)}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ of $(1 - d_j, 1)$ -differentials is infinite-dimensional. We identify its elements by diffeomorphisms of $\Sigma_{g,n}$. To construct Hamiltonians one can take elements of the quotient of $\Omega^{(1-d_j, 1)}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ with respect to this action. It is a finite-dimensional space with a local description as the cohomology space $H^1(\Sigma_{g,n}, \mathcal{T}^{\otimes d_j-1})$ of $\Sigma_{g,n}$ with coefficients in tensor degrees of vector fields $\mathcal{T}$ on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. This space has dimension

$$n_j = \dim H^1(\Sigma_{g,n}, \mathcal{T}^{\otimes(j-1)}) = (2d_j - 1)(g - 1) + (d_j - 1)n. \quad (4.9)$$

Let $\mu_{j,k}$ be a basis in $H^1(\Sigma_{g,n}, \mathcal{T}^{\otimes(j-1)})$, ($k = 1, \dots, n_j$). The integrals

$$I_{j,k} = \frac{1}{d_j} \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} \mu_{j,k}(\Phi^{d_j}), \quad j = 1, \dots, l. \quad (4.10)$$

define Poisson commuting Hamiltonians.

It follows from (4.9), (A.7) and (A.12) that the number of integrals is equal to

$$\sum_{j=1}^l n_j = (g - 1) \sum_{j=1}^l (2d_j - 1) + n \sum_{j=1}^l (d_j - 1) = (g - 1) \dim G + \frac{1}{2} n \dim \mathcal{O}.$$

It is the dimension of the moduli space of holomorphic bundles $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ (3.8). It is equal to the half of $\dim(\mathcal{M}_H(\Sigma_{g,n}))$ (4.8) for generic orbits at all marked points.

The Hamiltonians $I_{j,k}$ define a free motion on $\mathcal{R}^H$

$$\partial_{j,k} \Phi = \{I_{j,k}, \Phi\} = 0, \quad \partial_{j,k} \bar{A} = \{I_{j,k}, \bar{A}\} = \Phi^{j-1} \mu_{j,k}. \quad (4.11)$$

These equations being trivial on $\mathcal{R}^H$ become meaningful on $T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ and define integrable hierarchies.

Since $d_{\bar{A}f} \Phi^f = f^{-1}(d_{\bar{A}} \Phi) f$ and f vanishes at the marked points, to describe $T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ it is possible first to fix a gauge and then to solve the moment constraint equation. Let us choose a gauge $\bar{A}_0 = f^{-1} \bar{A} f + f^{-1} \bar{A} f$. Then by the same gauge transformation define the transformed Higgs field ²

$$L = f^{-1} \Phi f. \quad (4.12)$$

²Generically, f is defined up to residual gauge transformations. We will not stop here on this point.

It follows from the first equation (4.11) that L is the Lax operator

$$\partial_{j,k}L = [L, M_{j,k}], \quad M_{j,k} = f^{-1}\partial_{j,k}f. \quad (4.13)$$

The form of the M-operator can be extracted from the second equation in (4.11). In terms of the Lax operator (4.7) assumes the form

$$d_{\bar{A}_0}L = \sum_a \mathbf{S}_a \delta(z_a). \quad (4.14)$$

Here we keep the notation $\mathbf{S}_a$ for the gauge transformed elements. Then the moduli space of the Higgs bundles $\mathcal{M}_H(\Sigma_g)$ coincides with the space of solutions of this equation. The Lax operator is a meromorphic section of adjoint bundle, specified by its monodromies (3.2). They can satisfy (3.3) or (3.6). Therefore L depends on the moduli of bundles and on residues $\mathbf{S}_a$ of its poles. In a concrete interpretation $\mathbf{S}_a$ are identified with spin variables, while local coordinates on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ play the role of coordinates of particles. Their momenta are moduli of solutions of (4.14).

It can be proved that the Hamiltonians (4.10) being restricted on $T^*\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$

$$I_{j,k} = \frac{1}{d_j} \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} \mu_{j,k}(L^{d_j})$$

continue to Poisson commute. Thus, we come to completely integrable systems. The set $B = \{I_{j,k}\}$ forms the base of the fibration (2.5). To prove algebraic integrability one should construct the Liouville fibres related to the spectral curve

$$\mathcal{C}(\lambda, z) = 0, \quad \mathcal{C}(\lambda, z) = \det(\lambda - L(z)).$$

For curves without marked points it was done in [4, 22].

4.3 Symplectic Hecke Correspondence

Consider two Higgs bundles (E, Φ) and $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{\Phi})$, where $\tilde{E}$ is the Ξ modification of E of type γ (3.15). The modification acts on the Higgs bundles as

$$(E, \Phi) \xrightarrow{\Xi} (\tilde{E}, \tilde{\Phi}),$$

$$\Xi\Phi = \tilde{\Phi}\Xi, \quad \Xi\tilde{A} = \bar{\partial}\Xi + \bar{A}\Xi. \quad (4.15)$$

We call this transformation *the Symplectic Hecke Correspondence* of the Higgs bundles, since it is a symplectomorphism of two Higgs bundles with symplectic forms (4.2).

The Higgs fields Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ should be holomorphic with prescribed simple poles at the marked points. The holomorphy of the Higgs field put restrictions on its form. Decompose Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ in the Chevalley basis (A.6), (A.8)

$$\Phi(z) = \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(z) + \sum_{\alpha \in R} \Phi_{\alpha}(z)E_{\alpha}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}(z) = \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{H}}(z) + \sum_{\alpha \in R} \tilde{\Phi}_{\alpha}(z)E_{\alpha}.$$

Expand α in the basis of simple roots (A.2) $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^l n_j^{\alpha} \alpha_j$ and γ in the basis of fundamental coweights $\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \varpi_j^{\vee}$, such that $\langle \gamma, \alpha_j \rangle \geq 0$ for simple α_j .³ Then $\langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j n_j^{\alpha}$ is an integer number. From (4.15) and (A.9) we find

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{H}}(z) = \Phi_{\mathfrak{H}}(z), \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{\alpha}(z) = z^{\langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle} \Phi_{\alpha}(z). \quad (4.16)$$

³Such weights are called the dominant weights.

In a neighborhood of $z = 0$ $\Phi(z)$ should have the form

$$\Phi_\alpha(z) = a_{\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle} z^{-\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle} + a_{\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle+1} z^{-\langle\gamma,\alpha\rangle+1} + \dots, \quad (\alpha \in R^-),$$

otherwise the transformed field becomes singular. It means that a modification of a Higgs bundle in a point z_0 of Σ is not arbitrary, but depends on the local behavior of the Higgs field (the Lax operator). Thus, there are $\sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \langle\gamma, \alpha\rangle$ constraints on the Higgs field.

4.4 Examples

For elliptic curves $g = 1$ solutions of (3.6) can be found explicitly [24]. We identify Σ_1 with the quotient $\mathbb{C}/(\tau\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z})$, where τ is the modular parameter ($\text{Im } \tau > 0$). It allowed us to define the Lax matrices and in this way the Hamiltonians for any Lie algebra and an arbitrary characteristic class. Consider, for simplicity, an elliptic curve with one marked point. If the characteristic class is trivial, then the corresponding integrable system is well known. It is the elliptic Calogero-Moser system with spin. The system describe $l = \text{rank}(G)$ particles and $\dim(\mathcal{O})$ "spin" variables subjected to $2l$ constraints. The phase space of the system is

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) \in T^*\mathfrak{H}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{S}} \in \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{H},$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l)$ are coordinates of particles, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l)$ - their momenta. As for the symplectic quotient $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{H}$ it is described by the local coordinates $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, subject to the moment constraints $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}|_{\mathfrak{H}} = 0$ with respect to the conjugation of $\mathcal{O}$ by the Cartan subgroup $\mathcal{H}$, and the gauge fixing constraints $\tilde{S}_\alpha = 1$ for simple roots $\alpha \in \Pi$.

The phase space has the following interpretation in terms of Higgs bundles. The spin variables $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ are residue of the Higgs field satisfying the just mentioned constraints. The coordinate variables $\mathbf{u}$ describe the moduli space of the bundle, while their momenta parameterize solutions of the moment constraint equation (4.14). Note that for the trivial characteristic class $\mathbf{u} \in \mathfrak{H}$.

The Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H^{CM} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \tilde{S}_\alpha \tilde{S}_{-\alpha} \wp(\mathbf{u}, \alpha).$$

Here $\wp(x)$ is the Weierschtrass function. It is a double-periodic meromorphic function in the fundamental domain $(1, \tau)$.

For nontrivial characteristic classes the phase space has the same dimension, since we the corresponding systems are symplectomorphic, but it has a different structure. The phase space is described in [24]. There exists a correspondence between an element of the center ζ of G and a Cartan subgroup $\mathcal{H}_\zeta \subset \mathcal{H}$. It is described in the following way. There are two fundamental cycles for $g = 1$ $a \sim 1$, $b \sim \tau$. The solutions of (3.6) can be taken in the form $\rho_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ and ρ_τ as a special Weyl transform, that defines a symmetry of the extended Dynkin diagram. Then the subgroup $\mathcal{H}_\zeta$ is the invariant Cartan subgroup $\rho_\tau h \rho_\tau^{-1} = h$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}_\zeta$. The moduli space of holomorphic bundles over Σ_τ with characteristic class ζ are elements of the Cartan Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}_\zeta = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{H}_\zeta)$. The configuration space of particles is a subset C of $\mathfrak{H}_\zeta$. Then the phase space takes the following form

$$(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) \in T^*C, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{S}} \in \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{H}_\zeta.$$

Let $\tilde{R} = R \cap \mathfrak{H}_\zeta \subset R$ be a subsystem of roots and H_R^{CM} be the corresponding Calogero-Moser Hamiltonian. We call the subgroup $\tilde{G} \subset G$ generated by $\tilde{R}$ the unbroken subgroup, because the whole Hamiltonian H_ζ has the form

$$H_\zeta = H_R^{CM} + \tilde{H},$$

where $\tilde{H}$ has the form of interacting tops. It is too long to write it here explicitly. Detail can be found in [24].

For example, for $G = \text{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ and $\zeta = \text{diag}(\exp 2\pi i \frac{N-1}{N}, \exp -2\pi i \frac{1}{N}, \dots, \exp -2\pi i \frac{1}{N})$ generates the center μ_N of $\text{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. The transition matrices, satisfying (3.6) are the t'Hooft matrices

$$\rho_1 = \text{diag}(1, \omega, \dots, \omega^{N-1}), \quad (\rho_\tau)_{jk} = \delta_{j, k-1}, \quad (\text{mod } N), \quad \omega = \exp 2\pi i \frac{1}{N},$$

It is easy to find that the moduli space is empty in this case.⁴ $H_\zeta = \tilde{H}$ is the Hamiltonian of the so-called elliptic top. For $N = 2$ it is the standard Euler top. As it was explained above, the systems with different characteristic classes are symplectomorphic. The symplectic Hecke correspondence between the $\text{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ elliptic Calogero-Moser system and the elliptic top was constructed in [13].

Another example is the group E_6 with the center μ_3 . In this case $\tilde{R} = R_{G_2}$. The unbroken subgroup is G_2 . Thus, instead of six interacting E_6 particles only two survive and we come to the Hamiltonian

$$H_\zeta = H_{G_2}^{CM} + \tilde{H}.$$

Again the E_6 elliptic Calogero-Moser system and the last system are symplectomorphic.

For the group Spin_{4n} we obtained four types of integrable systems related to the scheme (3.5).

5 Monodromy preserving equations

There exist a wide class of Hamiltonian systems related to integrable systems. They are described in terms of the Isomonodromy problem defined for a linear matrix equations on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. The corresponding nonlinear equations are the monodromy preserving conditions. They are equations of motion for non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems. We will see that they share some common features with the Hitchin integrable systems. The most familiar examples are the Painlevé equations and the Schlesinger system. It turns out that integrable systems is a sort of quasi-classical limit of these non-autonomous Hamiltonian systems (Section 5.3).

5.1 Flat bundles and deformed complex structures

Let $(z, \bar{z})$ be local coordinates on $\Sigma_{g,n}$ and $\partial_z + \mathcal{A}_z$, $\partial_{\bar{z}} + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}$ are corresponding component of connection in the introduced before bundle E . Consider the space of connections completed as before by coadjoint orbits at the marked points

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\mathcal{A}_z, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}, \mathcal{O}_a, a = 1, \dots, n\}. \quad (5.1)$$

It can be equipped with the symplectic form

$$\Omega = \Omega^{\mathcal{A}} + \sum_{a=1}^n \omega_a, \quad \Omega^{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{\Sigma_g} (\delta(\mathcal{A}_z) \wedge \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}})). \quad (5.2)$$

The form $\Omega^{\mathcal{A}}$ is independent on the complex structure $(z, \bar{z})$ and can be rewritten as

$$\Omega^{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{\Sigma_g} (\delta(\mathcal{A}) \wedge \delta(\mathcal{A})). \quad (5.3)$$

⁴This happens only for $G = \text{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})$. For other groups the moduli spaces are non-empty. For this reason the so-called non-dynamical R -matrices exist only for $\text{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})$.

To describe a nontrivial dynamical systems deform $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ by mixing it with ∂_z as $\partial_{\bar{z}} + \mu\partial_z$, where $\mu \in \Omega^{(-1,1)}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is the defined above Beltrami differential on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. The Beltrami differential can be defined in terms of local substitution of coordinates

$$w = z - \epsilon(z, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{w} = \bar{z} - \overline{\epsilon(z, \bar{z})}.$$

Then for small ϵ $\mu = \partial_{\bar{z}}\epsilon$. We consider the Beltrami differential to be equivalent to zero if ϵ is continued to a global diffeomorphism of $\Sigma_{g,n}$. The equivalence relations in $\Omega^{(-1,1)}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is the moduli space of complex structures on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. The tangent space to the moduli space is the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n} \sim H^1(\Sigma_{g,n}, T\Sigma_{g,n})$. We have found the dimension of this space (see (4.9) for $d_j = 2$)

$$d = \dim \mathcal{T}_{g,n} = 3(g-1) + n. \quad (5.4)$$

If $(\mu_1^0, \dots, \mu_l^0)$ is the basis in the vector space $H^1(\Sigma_{g,n}, T\Sigma_{g,n})$, then

$$\mu = \sum_{s=1}^d t_s \mu_s^0. \quad (5.5)$$

In this construction it is convenient to deform $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ and do not touch ∂_z . To this end we use non-holomorphic substitution

$$w = z - \epsilon(z, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{w} = \bar{z}.$$

Then we come to connections

$$\partial_z + \mathcal{A}_z, \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} + \mu\partial_z + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}$$

and Ω^A in (5.2) is replaced on

$$\Omega^A = \int_{\Sigma_g} (\delta(\mathcal{A}_z) \wedge \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}} - \mu\mathcal{A}_z)) = \int_{\Sigma_g} (\delta(\mathcal{A}_z) \wedge \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}})) - (\mathcal{A}_z \delta(\mathcal{A}_z)) \wedge \delta\mu.$$

The second term is interpreted as $\delta H \wedge \delta t$, where the Hamiltonian H is defined below (5.7). Thus, μ corresponds to time variables. Taking into account (5.5) rewrite the symplectic form on $\mathcal{R}$ as the Cartan-Poincaré invariant [17]

$$\Omega = \int_{\Sigma_g} (\delta(\mathcal{A}_z) \wedge \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}})) + \sum_{a=1}^n \omega_a - (\mathcal{A}_z \delta(\mathcal{A}_z)) \wedge \sum_{s=1}^l \delta t_s \mu_s^0 = \Omega_0 - \sum_{s=1}^l \delta H_s \wedge \delta t_s, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\Omega_0 = \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} (\delta(\mathcal{A}_z) \wedge \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}})) + \sum_{a=1}^n \omega_a, \quad H_s = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} (\mathcal{A}_z, \mathcal{A}_z) \mu_s^0. \quad (5.7)$$

The form is defined on the bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ over the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ with fibers $\mathcal{R}$ (5.1). The form Ω is degenerated on $d = \dim \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ vector fields D_s : $(\Omega(D_s, \cdot) = 0)$

$$D_s = \partial_s + \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} (\mathcal{A}_z \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}}) \mu_s^0 = \partial_s + \{H_s, \cdot\}_{\Omega_0}, \quad (\partial_s = \partial_{t_s}).$$

Here the Poisson brackets are inverse to the non-degenerate form Ω_0 on the fibers. The vector fields D_s define the equations of motion for any function $f(\mathcal{A}_z, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}, \mathbf{S}_a, \mu)$ on $\mathcal{P}$

$$\frac{df}{dt_s} = \partial_{t_s} f + \{H_s, f\}_{\Omega_0}.$$

In particular,

$$\partial_s \mathcal{A}_z = 0, \quad \partial_s \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}} = \mathcal{A}_z \mu_s^0, \quad \partial_s \mathbf{S}_a = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

and therefore again we come to a free motion

$$\mathcal{A}_z(\mu) = \mathcal{A}_z^0, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}(\mu) = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}^0 + \mu \mathcal{A}_z^0.$$

In addition, there are the consistency conditions for the Hamiltonians

$$\partial_s H_r - \partial_r H_s + \{H_r, H_s\}_{\Omega_0} = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Let Ψ be a fundamental solution of the linear system ($\Psi \in \Omega^{(0)}(\Sigma_{g,n}, \text{Aut} E)$)

$$\begin{cases} 1. & [\partial_z + \mathcal{A}_z, \Psi] = 0, \\ 2. & [\partial_{\bar{z}} + \sum_{s=1}^l t_s \mu_s^0 \partial_z + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}, \Psi] = 0, \\ 3. & \partial_s \Psi = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

The monodromy $\mathcal{Y}$ of Ψ is the transformation

$$\Psi \rightarrow \Psi \mathcal{Y}, \quad \mathcal{Y} \in \text{Rep}(\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,n}) \rightarrow G).$$

The equation 3. (5.10) means that the monodromy is independent on the times. The equations of motion (5.8) for A and $\bar{A}$ are the consistency conditions 1., 3., and 2.,3. correspondingly. The consistency condition of 1. and 2. is the flatness constraints

$$(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \mu \partial_z) \mathcal{A}_z - \partial_z \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}} + [\mathcal{A}_z, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}] = \sum_a \mathbf{S}_a \delta(z_a). \quad (5.11)$$

Similar to (4.7), the flatness is broken at the marked points.

5.2 Moduli space

The form Ω (5.6) is invariant under the gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_z &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_z^f = f^{-1} \partial_z f + f^{-1} \mathcal{A}_z f, & \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}^f = f^{-1} (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \mu \partial_z) f + f^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}} f, \\ \mathbf{S}_a &\rightarrow \mathbf{S}_a^f = f^{-1}(z_a, \bar{z}_a) \mathbf{S}_a f(z_a, \bar{z}_a). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

They are generated by the flatness constraints (5.11).

Up to now the equations of motion, and the linear problem are trivial. The meaningful equations arise after the gauge fixing with respect to (5.12). The set of the gauge orbits on the constraint surface (5.11) is the moduli space of flat connections. By neglecting some non-generic configurations we come to the moduli space of flat bundles

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{flat} = (5.11)/\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{G}. \quad (5.13)$$

Let us fix $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}} = \bar{L}$:

$$\bar{L} = f^{-1} (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \mu \partial_z) f + f^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}} f. \quad (5.14)$$

Then the dual field

$$L = f^{-1} \partial f + f^{-1} \mathcal{A}_z f \quad (5.15)$$

can be found from the moment equation (5.11)

$$(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \mu \partial_z) L - \partial_z \bar{L} + [\bar{L}, L] = \sum_{a=1}^n \mathbf{S}_a \delta(x_a). \quad (5.16)$$

We preserve the notion $\mathbf{S}_a$ for the gauge transformed element of $\mathcal{O}_a$. Here L and $\bar{L}$ are connections satisfying the quasi-periodicity conditions (3.2).

The gauge fixing (5.14) and the moment constraint (5.16) kill almost all degrees of freedom. The fibers $\{L, \bar{L}, \mathbf{S}_a\}$ become finite-dimensional, as well as the bundle $\mathcal{P}^{red} = (\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{flat}, \mathcal{T}_{g,n})$:

$$\dim \mathcal{P}^{red} = (2 \dim G + 3)(g - 1) + (\dim \mathcal{O} + 1)n. \quad (5.17)$$

On $\mathcal{P}^{red}$ we have

$$\Omega_0 = \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} (\delta L \wedge d\bar{L}) + \sum_{a=1}^n \omega_a, \quad H_s = H_s(L) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} (L^2) \mu_s^0. \quad (5.18)$$

But now, due to (5.16), the system is no long free because L depends on $\bar{L}$, $\mathbf{S}_a$. Moreover, because L depends explicitly on μ , the system (5.18) is non-autonomous.

Let $M_s = f^{-1}\partial_s f$. Then the equations of motion (5.8) on $\mathcal{M}_H(\Sigma_{g,n})$ take the form

$$\partial_s L - \partial_z M_s + [M_s, L] = 0, \quad s = 1, \dots, l, \quad (5.19)$$

where M_s is a solution of the equation

$$(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_z \mu) M_s - [M_s, \bar{L}] = \partial_s \bar{L} - L \mu_s^0. \quad (5.20)$$

The equations (5.19) are the analog of the Lax equations (4.13). The essential difference is the presence of the operator ∂_z with respect to the spectral parameter.

These equations reproduce the Schlesinger system, Elliptic Schlesinger system, multi-component generalization of the Painlevé VI equation [10, 25]. The equations (5.19), (5.20) along with (5.16) are consistency conditions for the linear system

$$\begin{cases} 1. & [\partial + L, \Psi = 0], \\ 2. & [\bar{\partial} + \sum_s t_s \mu_s^0 \partial + \bar{L}, \Psi = 0], \\ 3. & [\partial_s + M_s, \Psi] = 0, \quad (s = 1, \dots, l). \end{cases} \quad (5.21)$$

The equations 3. provides the isomonodromy property of the system 2., 3. with respect to variations of the times t_s . For this reason we call the nonlinear equations (5.19) the Hierarchy of the Isomonodromic Deformations.

The Symplectic Hecke Correspondence can be applied to the monodromy preserving equations in a similar way as for the Hitchin systems. It allowed us to identify different descriptions of the Painlevé VI equation [13, 26].

5.3 Scaling limit.

Here we point out an interrelation between Hitchin integrable systems and the monodromy preserving equations. These interrelations were first observed at the beginning of the last century by Garnier [28] and by Boutroux [29] and developed later in numerous works [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. Here we describe them in a very simple form in "the first approximation" following [10]. It turns out that the Hitchin systems are a some sort of quasi-classical limit of the Isomonodromy Problems. This is a sort of WKB ansatz considered in particular cases in [30, 33, 36]. The next order is more complicated procedure [31, 34] leading to the so-called Whitham equations. We do not need to discuss them here.

Introduce an analog of the Planck constant κ and replace a holomorphic connection by the P.Deligne κ -connection $\kappa\partial_z + \mathcal{A}_z$. Simultaneously, replace μ by μ/κ . Then we come to connections

$$\kappa\partial_z + \mathcal{A}_z, \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} + \mu\partial_z + \mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}}.$$

Consider the limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. The symplectic form Ω (5.6) is singular in this limit. Let us replace the times

$$t_s \rightarrow t_s^0 + \kappa t_s^H, \quad (t_s^H - \text{Hitchin times})$$

and assume that the times t_s^0 , ($s = 1, \dots, l$) are fixed.⁵ After this rescaling the form (5.6) becomes regular. The rescaling procedure means that we blow up a neighborhood of the fixed point $\mu(0) = \sum_s t_s^0 \mu_s^{(0)}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ and consider the system in this neighborhood. This fixed point is defined by the complex coordinates

$$w_0 = z - \sum_s t_s^0 \epsilon_s(z, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{w}_0 = \bar{z}, \quad (\partial_{\bar{w}_0} = \bar{\partial} + \mu(0)\partial), \quad \mu(0) = \sum_s t_s^0 \mu_s^{(0)}. \quad (5.22)$$

For $\kappa = 0$ the connection $\mathcal{A}_z$ becomes the one-form Φ (the Higgs field) $\kappa\partial + \mathcal{A}_z \rightarrow \Phi$. Let $L^0 = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} L$, $\bar{L}^0 = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \bar{L}$. Then we obtain the autonomous Hamiltonian systems with the form

$$\Omega^H = \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} (\delta L^0 \wedge \delta \bar{L}^0) + \sum_{a=1}^n \omega_a$$

and the commuting, time-independent quadratic integrals

$$H_s = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} (L_0^2) \mu_s^{(0)}.$$

The phase space turns into the cotangent bundle to the moduli of stable holomorphic G -bundles over $\Sigma_{g,n}$.

The corresponding set of linear equations has the following form.

$$\begin{cases} 1. & (\kappa\partial + L)\Psi = 0, \\ 2. & (\bar{\partial} + \sum_s t_s \mu_s^0 \partial + \bar{L})\Psi = 0, \\ 3. & (\partial_{t_s^H} + \kappa \partial_{t_s^0} + M_s)\Psi = 0, \quad (s = 1, \dots, l). \end{cases}$$

We consider the quasi-classical regime $\kappa \rightarrow 0$

$$\Psi = \phi \exp \frac{\mathcal{S}}{\kappa}, \quad (5.23)$$

where ϕ is a group-valued function and $\mathcal{S}$ is a scalar phase. To kill singularities we assume that

$$(\bar{\partial} + \sum_s t_s \mu_s^0 \partial) \mathcal{S} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s^H} \mathcal{S} = 0. \quad (5.24)$$

In the quasi-classical limit we set

$$\partial_{w_0} \mathcal{S} = \lambda. \quad (5.25)$$

Define the Baker-Akhiezer function

$$Y = \phi \exp \sum_{s=1}^l t_s^H \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial t_s^0}.$$

⁵In the approximation procedure the times are represented by the slow variables t_s^0 and the fast variables t_s^H . Here it is sufficient to fix t_s^0 .

Then instead of (5.21) we obtain in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{cases} 1. & (\lambda + L^0)Y = 0, \\ 2. & (\bar{\partial}_{\bar{w}_0} + \bar{L}^0)Y = 0, \\ 3. & (\partial_s + M_s^0)Y = 0, \quad (s = 1, \dots, l), \quad (\partial_s = \partial_{t_s^H}). \end{cases}$$

Note, that the consistency conditions for the first and the last equations are the standard Lax equations

$$\partial_s L^0 + [M_s^0, L^0] = 0, \quad (5.26)$$

while the consistency conditions for the first and the second equations is just the Hitchin equation, defining L^0

$$\partial_{\bar{w}_0} L^0 + [\bar{L}^0, L^0] = 2\pi i \sum_{a=1}^n \delta(x_a) p_a. \quad (5.27)$$

It follows from (5.26) that the resulting Hamiltonian system is completely integrable. The commuting integrals are

$$H_{s,l} = \frac{1}{d_j} \int_{\Sigma_{g,n}} (L_0^{d_j}) \mu_{s,j}^0, \quad (j = 1, \dots, l).$$

The gauge properties of the Higgs field allows one to define the spectral curve

$$\mathcal{C} : \det(\lambda + L) = 0$$

and the projection (2.5).

6 Gauge theory description

Here we present results of Hitchin [4]. In this paper he described two-dimensional reductions of the self-duality equations in dimension four. We shall focus on the fact that there are two descriptions of the moduli space of their solutions. They are the phase spaces of integrable systems or the phase spaces of monodromy preserving equations, defined above.

6.1 2-d self-dual equations

Consider the self-duality equation in the Yang-Mills theory on $\mathbb{R}^4$ with coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with a gauge group G_c , where G_c is a simple compact Lie group

$$F = \star F. \quad (6.1)$$

Here $\star$ is the Hodge operator and the curvature is a two-form $F(\mathbf{A}) \in \Omega^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathfrak{g}_c)$ $F_{ij} = [\nabla_i, \nabla_j]$ or $F(\mathbf{A}) = d\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2$ taking values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_c$. If $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^4$ then (6.1) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} F_{01} = F_{23} \\ F_{02} = F_{31} \\ F_{03} = F_{12} \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

Assume that A_j depend only on (x_1, x_2) . This means that the fields are invariant under the shifts in directions x_0, x_3 . Then (A_0, A_3) become adjoint-valued one-forms which we denote as

(ϕ_1, ϕ_2) . They are called the Higgs fields.⁶ The self-dual equations on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2 = (x_1, x_2)$ take the form

$$F_{12} = [\phi_1, \phi_2], \quad (6.3)$$

$$[\nabla_1, \phi_1] = [\phi_2, \nabla_2], \quad (6.4)$$

$$[\nabla_1, \phi_2] = [\nabla_2, \phi_1]. \quad (6.5)$$

Introduce complex coordinates $z = x_1 + ix_2$, $\bar{z} = x_1 - ix_2$ and let $d' = \nabla_z$, $d'' = \nabla_{\bar{z}}$. Consider the complexification

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_z = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 - i\phi_2)dz \in \Omega^{(1,0)}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathfrak{g}_c), \\ \Phi_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2)d\bar{z} \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathfrak{g}_c). \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} A_z = \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - iA_2) \\ A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_1 + iA_2), \end{cases}$$

In terms of fields

$$\mathcal{W} = (A, A_{\bar{z}}, \Phi_z, \Phi_{\bar{z}}) \quad (6.6)$$

(6.3) – (6.5) can be rewritten in the coordinate invariant way:

$$\begin{cases} 1. & F + [\Phi_z, \Phi_{\bar{z}}] = 0, \\ 2. & d_{A_{\bar{z}}} \Phi_z = 0, \\ 3. & d_{A_z} \Phi_{\bar{z}} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$

where $[\Phi_z, \Phi_{\bar{z}}] = \Phi_z \Phi_{\bar{z}} + \Phi_{\bar{z}} \Phi_z$.

Equations (6.7) are conformal invariant and thereby can be defined on a complex curve Σ_g with local coordinates $(z, \bar{z})$. Let $\Omega^{(j,k)}(\Sigma_g, \mathfrak{g}_c)$ be (j, k) -forms on Σ_g taking values in $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_z &\in \Omega^{(1,0)}(\Sigma_g, \mathfrak{g}_c), \quad \Phi_{\bar{z}} \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(\Sigma_g, \mathfrak{g}_c), \\ d_{A_{\bar{z}}} &: \Omega^{(j,k)}(\Sigma_g, \mathfrak{g}_c) \rightarrow \Omega^{(j,k+1)}(\Sigma_g, \mathfrak{g}_c). \end{aligned}$$

The self-duality equations (6.7) on Σ_g are called *the Hitchin equations*.

In fact, instead of (6.7) we will consider further a modified system

$$\begin{cases} 1. & F - [\Phi_z, \Phi_{\bar{z}}] = 0, \\ 2. & d_{A_{\bar{z}}} \Phi_z = 0, \\ 3. & d_{A_z} \Phi_{\bar{z}} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6.8)$$

It comes from the self-duality on $\mathbb{R}^4$ with a metric of signature $(2, 2)$.

6.2 The moduli space

The system (6.8) is invariant with respect to the gauge transformations

$$\mathcal{G}_c = \{f \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_g, G_c)\}, \quad (6.9)$$

$$\Phi_z \rightarrow f^{-1} \Phi_z f, \quad \Phi_{\bar{z}} \rightarrow f^{-1} \Phi_{\bar{z}} f, \quad (6.10)$$

$$d_{A_{\bar{z}}} \rightarrow f^{-1} d_{A_{\bar{z}}} f, \quad d_{A_z} \rightarrow f^{-1} d_{A_z} f. \quad (6.11)$$

⁶ The Higgs fields in particle physics are scalar fields, but not one-forms. In fact, (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) are the Higgs field in the SUSY $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory. They become one-forms after some topological twist [14].

If $(A, A_{\bar{z}}, \Phi_z, \Phi_{\bar{z}})$ are solutions of (6.8), then the transformed fields are also solutions. Define the moduli space of solutions of (6.8) as a quotient under the gauge group action

$$\mathcal{M}_H(\Sigma_g) = \text{solutions of (6.8)} / \mathcal{G}_c. \quad (6.12)$$

Dimension of this space is

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_H(\Sigma_g)) = 2(g-1) \dim(G_c). \quad (6.13)$$

For generic configurations of the fields the space $\mathcal{M}_H(\Sigma_g)$ has two equivalent description:

I) Consider the pair $(\Phi = \Phi_z, \bar{A} = A_{\bar{z}})$ taking values in the complex algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Assume that they satisfy the second equation in (6.9). The complex gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \{f \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_g, G)\}$ transforms its solutions into another solutions. Taking the quotient of solutions with respect to this action we come to the moduli space of the Higgs bundles, described in Section 4. It was found in [5] (see, also, [14]) that for generic pairs $(\Phi, \bar{A})$

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{G_c}(\Sigma) \sim T^* \mathcal{M}_g \quad (6.14)$$

II) Define the complex valued connection $\mathcal{A}_z = A_z + i\Phi_z$, $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{z}} = A_{\bar{z}} - i\Phi_{\bar{z}}$. The first equation in (6.8) becomes the flatness condition for the curvature $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$

$$\mathcal{F} = 0. \quad (6.15)$$

Evidently, it is invariant under the action of the complex gauge group $\mathcal{G}$. Then generic flat bundles describe the Hitchin moduli space as the quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_H^{G_c}(\Sigma_g) \sim \mathcal{M}_g^{flat} = (\mathcal{F} = 0) / \mathcal{G}. \quad (6.16)$$

This moduli space has been defined (5.13).

These two description is based on the hyperkahler structure of $\mathcal{W}$ (6.6) [5, 14]. It implies an existence on $\mathcal{W}$ three complex structures $I, J, K = IJ$ and three symplectic forms Ω_I, Ω_J and Ω_K . They are $(2, 0)$ forms in the corresponding complex structures. The first constructions is based on symplectic reduction with respect to the form Ω_I , which coincides with (4.2). The second constructions is the result of symplectic reduction with respect to the form Ω_J (5.3).

As a result due to this procedure we identify the phase spaces of the Hitchin integrable systems and the monodromy preserving equations. In fact, these two moduli spaces are isomorphic as real manifolds but not as complex manifolds.

7 Bogomolny equation

Here following [14] we interpret the modification in terms of monopole configurations. The relevant monopole configurations are singular solutions of the Bogomolny equation. These configurations of fields correspond to the t'Hooft operators in the underlying Yang-Mills theory. The present treatment is based on our paper [37], where we considered the modifications for flat bundles.

7.1 Definition

Let $W = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_g$. Consider a G bundle E over W equipped with the curvature F . We assume that G is a complex group and E is an adjoint bundle. It implies that F takes values in the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let ϕ be a zero form on W $\phi \in \Omega^0(W, \mathfrak{g})$.

The Bogomolny equation on W is a three-dimensional reduction of the self-duality equation (6.2). It takes the form

$$F = *D\phi. \quad (7.1)$$

Here $*$ is the Hodge operator on W with respect to the metric ds^2 on W . In local coordinates $(z, \bar{z})$ on $\Sigma_{g,n}$ and y on the real line $ds^2 = h|dz|^2 + dy^2$, where $h(z, \bar{z})|dz|^2$ is a metric on Σ_g . Then the Hodge operator is defined as

$$\star dy = \frac{1}{2} i h dz \wedge d\bar{z}, \quad \star dz = -i dz \wedge dy, \quad \star d\bar{z} = i d\bar{z} \wedge dy,$$

In local coordinates (7.1) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} 1. & \partial_z A_{\bar{z}} - \partial_{\bar{z}} A_z + [A_z, A_{\bar{z}}] = \frac{i h(z, \bar{z})}{2} (\partial_y \phi + [A_y, \phi]), \\ 2. & \partial_y A_z - \partial_z A_y + [A_y, A_z] = i(\partial_z \phi + [A_z, \phi]), \\ 3. & \partial_y A_{\bar{z}} - \partial_{\bar{z}} A_y + [A_y, A_{\bar{z}}] = -i(\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi + [A_{\bar{z}}, \phi]). \end{cases} \quad (7.2)$$

A singular monopole solution of this equation is obtained in the following way.

Let $\tilde{W} = (W \setminus \vec{x}^0 = (y = 0, z = z_0))$. The Bianchi identity $DF = 0$ on $\tilde{W}$ implies that ϕ can be identified with the Green function for the operator $\star D \star D$

$$\star D \star D \phi = \gamma \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}^0), \quad \gamma \in \mathfrak{H}. \quad (7.3)$$

We take $\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \varpi_j^\vee$ from the coweight lattice $P^\vee$ (A.5) as in the modification procedure (3.12). We call the Green function a monopole with charges $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$. We explain below this choice of the coefficient in front of the delta-function. This equation means that ϕ is singular at $\vec{x}^0$.

7.2 Boundary conditions and gauge symmetry

In what follows we assume that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \pm\infty} (\partial_y \phi) = 0. \quad (7.4)$$

It is the Neumann boundary conditions for the Higgs field, while the gauge fields are unspecified. Let $E_\pm$ be restrictions of E to the bundles over Σ_g on the "left end" and "right end" of W : $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$. These bundles are flat. This fact follows from 1.(7.2), where the gauge fixing $A_y = 0$ is assumed. The Bogomolny equation defines a transformation $E_- \rightarrow E_+$. It was proved in [14] that in absence of the source $\gamma = 0$ in (7.3) the only solutions of (7.1) with these boundary conditions are $F \equiv 0$, $\phi \equiv 0$. In general, under the action of the source the characteristic classes of bundles are changed under these transformations. We will find that it is the modification of the type $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$.

The system (7.2) is invariant with respect to the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ action:

$$\begin{aligned} A_z &\rightarrow h A_z h^{-1} + \partial_z h h^{-1}, & A_{\bar{z}} &\rightarrow h A_{\bar{z}} h^{-1} + \partial_{\bar{z}} h h^{-1}, & A_y &\rightarrow h A_y h^{-1} + \partial_y h h^{-1} \\ \phi &\rightarrow h \phi h^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

where $h \in \mathcal{G}$ is a smooth map $W \rightarrow G$. To preserve the r.h.s in (7.3) it should satisfy the condition $[h(\vec{x}^0), \gamma] = 0$.

Since the gauge fields for $y = \pm\infty$ are unspecified and only flat we can act on them by boundary values of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}|_{y=\pm\infty} = \mathcal{G}_\pm$. Then $\mathcal{M}^\pm = \{E_\pm\}/\mathcal{G}_\pm = \mathcal{M}_H^\pm(\Sigma_{g,n})$ are

the moduli spaces of flat bundles (see (5.13), (6.16)). In this way a monopole solution put in a correspondence two moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_H^\pm(\Sigma_{g,n})$. But Bogomolny equation tells us more. It describes an evolution from one type of system to another.

It is possible to generalize (7.3) and consider multi-monopole sources $\sum_a \gamma_a \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_a^0)$ in the r.h.s. . This generalization will correspond to modifications in a few points of $\Sigma_{g,n}$.

7.3 Gauge fixing

Consider (7.2) on $\widetilde{W}$. Choose a gauge fixing conditions as: $A_{\bar{z}} = 0$. Holomorphic in z functions $h = h(y, z)$ preserve this gauge. Then

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_{\bar{z}} A_z = \frac{ig}{2} (\partial_y \phi + [A_y, \phi]) , \\ \partial_y A_z - \partial_z A_y + [A_z, A_y] = i(\partial_z \phi + [A_z, \phi]) , \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} A_y = i\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi . \end{cases} \quad (7.6)$$

The last equation means that $A_y - i\phi$ is holomorphic. It follows from (7.5) that the gauge transformation of this function is

$$A_y - i\phi \rightarrow h(A_y - i\phi)h^{-1} + \partial_y h h^{-1}$$

Thus, we can keep $A_y = i\phi$ by using holomorphic and y -independent part of the gauge group ($\partial_y h = 0$). Finally, we come to the system

$$\begin{cases} 1. & \partial_{\bar{z}} A_z = -\frac{ih}{2} \partial_y \phi , \\ 2. & \partial_y A_z - 2i\partial_z \phi + 2i[A_z, \phi] = 0 , \\ 3. & A_y = i\phi , \\ 4. & A_{\bar{z}} = 0 . \end{cases} \quad (7.7)$$

Two upper equations from (7.7) lead to the Laplace type equation

$$\partial_y^2 \phi + \frac{4}{h} (\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi + \partial_{\bar{z}} [A_z, \phi]) = 0 ,$$

or on W

$$\partial_y^2 \phi + \frac{4}{h} (\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi + \partial_{\bar{z}} [A_z, \phi]) = M\delta(y, z_0) . \quad (7.8)$$

7.4 Scalar case.

In scalar case (7.8) is simplified

$$\partial_y^2 \phi + \frac{4}{h} \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \phi = c\delta(y = 0, z_0) . \quad (7.9)$$

where for the time being the value c is not specified.

Consider (7.9) on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ with the global coordinates $(z, \bar{z}, y)$ and $h = 1$. Then

$$\phi = c \frac{1}{\sqrt{y^2 + z\bar{z}}} \quad (7.10)$$

satisfies (7.4). It follows from 1.(7.7) that

$$\begin{cases} A_z(z, \bar{z}, y) = A_z^+(z, \bar{z}, y), & y > 0 \text{ and } y = 0, z \neq 0 , \\ A_z(z, \bar{z}, y) = A_z^-(z, \bar{z}, y), & y < 0 , \end{cases} \quad (7.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_z^+(z, \bar{z}, y) &= -ic \left(\frac{1}{z} \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 + z\bar{z}}} - \frac{1}{z} \right) + \text{const}, \\ A_z^-(z, \bar{z}, y) &= -ic \left(\frac{1}{z} \frac{y}{\sqrt{y^2 + z\bar{z}}} + \frac{1}{z} \right) + \text{const}, \end{aligned}$$

and $A_z(z, \bar{z}, y)$ is a connection on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$. The connection has a jump $-2ic\frac{1}{z}$ at $y = 0$. To deal with smooth connections we compensate the jump by a holomorphic gauge transform that locally near $\vec{x}_0$ has the form $h \sim z^m$. Here m should be integer, because h is a holomorphic function. Notice that all holomorphic line bundles over S^2 are known to be $\mathcal{O}(m)$ -bundles, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we have $c = i\frac{m}{2}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This usually referred as a quantization of the monopole charge. In fact the constant c contains factor 4π (area of a unit sphere) which yields a proper normalization of delta-function and appears in the Gauss's law.

7.5 Modification

Assume that near the singular point $\vec{x}^0$ the Higgs field ϕ can be taken from the Cartan subalgebra. Using the solution (7.10) for a line bundle we write in the form

$$\phi|_{\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x}^0} \sim \frac{i\gamma}{2\sqrt{y^2 + z\bar{z}}} \in \mathfrak{H}. \quad (7.12)$$

It follows from (7.11) that A_z undergoes a discontinuous jump at $y = 0$

$$A_z^+ - A_z^- = \frac{i\gamma}{z}, \quad \gamma \in \mathfrak{H}. \quad (7.13)$$

To get rid of the singularity of A at $z = 0$, as in the abelian case, one can perform the singular gauge transform Ξ that behaves near $\vec{x}^0$ as

$$\Xi \sim z^\gamma \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (\Xi = \exp(2\pi i\gamma \ln z)). \quad (7.14)$$

Assume that γ belongs to the coweight lattice $\gamma \in P^\vee$ as in (3.12). It means that Ξ is the modification of type $\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \varpi_j^\vee$. As it was explained before, the modified bundle $\tilde{E}$ can not be lifted to a $\bar{G}$ bundle. Thus, if the monopole charge belong to $P^\vee$ then the solution of the Bogomolny equation defines the modification of the initial flat bundle E_- to the modified bundle $E_+ = \tilde{E}$. On the other hand, if γ belongs to the coroot lattice $Q^\vee$ (A.4), then there is no obstruction to lift $\tilde{E}$ to an $\bar{G}$ bundle. In other words, the monopoles with charges from the coroot lattices do not change the topological type of bundles. Therefore, monopoles with nontrivial charges are classified by the quotient $P^\vee/Q^\vee \sim \mathcal{Z}(\bar{G})$ (A.24). In this way there are no nontrivial monopoles for the groups G_2 , F_4 and E_8 . From field-theoretical point of view the modification (7.14) it is an action of the t'Hooft operator.

In summary, the monopole solutions of the Bogomolny equation allows one to relate them to the symplectic Hecke correspondence in the monodromy preserving equations. Using the correspondence between the monodromy preserving equations and the Hitchin integrable systems we relate the same configurations to the symplectic Hecke correspondence in the Hitchin integrable systems.

8 Twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills Theory and Integrable systems.

In [14] Kapustin and Witten described the Langlands program in terms of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 4$ SUSY Yang-Mills Theory with compact gauge group G_c . By certain twists the theory becomes topological and $\mathbb{R}^4$ can be replaced by a theory on $C \times \Sigma$, where Σ will play the role of the basic spectral curve introduced above, and C is a Riemann surface with boundaries.⁷ In particular, C can be taken as $\mathbb{R}^2$. It includes time t and y variables that was used in the Bogomolny equation. One of the crucial points is that in the limit when Σ becomes small compared with C the effective theory is described by a topological sigma-model $C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_H(\Sigma)$.

In fact, there is a family of twists in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories. For a one value of the twist parameter the theory can be reduced to the Bogomolny equation in three dimension and to the Hitchin equations (6.7). The mention above sigma-model is the A-model with the target space $\mathcal{M}_H^{G_c}(\Sigma_g)$. It is described in the form (6.14), i.e. the phase space of the Hitchin integrable system, related to the group G

For another specific value of the twist parameter one should consider the dual gauge group ${}^L G_c$ and the two-dimensional sigma-model is the B-model with the target space $\mathcal{M}_H^{L G_c}(\Sigma_g)$ (5.13). The supersymmetry condition is equivalent to flatness of the complexified connections (6.15). It is the phase space for the monodromy preserving equations related to the group ${}^L G$. The S-duality in the Yang-Mills Theory in $d = 4$ becomes the mirror symmetry between A-models with the target space $\mathcal{M}_H^{G_c}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ and B-models with the target space $\mathcal{M}_H^{L G_c}(\Sigma_g)$. In this way the Hitchin systems are dual to the monodromy preserving equations related to the dual groups (see Table 2 in Appendix).

In the A-model the natural operators are the t'Hooft operators. They correspond to singular configurations of the gauge field on a line or on a loop in $d = 4$. In the abelian case $G_c = U(1)$ they are defined as Dirac monopole configuration (7.11). In the non-abelian case with a compact gauge G_c we define a singular gauge transform using the map $t_c : U(1) \rightarrow G_c$. Since t_c is defined up to conjugations consider the map $t_c : U(1) \rightarrow T_c$ in a Cartan torus $T_c \subset G_c$. Continue t_c to the holomorphic map of $\mathbb{C}^*$ to the Cartan subgroup $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_G$ of the complex group G . t_c a co-character of G (A.18). In this way we come to the modification (7.14). This construction establish a connection between the t'Hooft operators in the Yang-Mills theories and the Symplectic Hecke Correspondence in the Hitchin Systems.

The S-dual to the t'Hooft operators are the Wilson operators in Yang-Mills theory with the group ${}^L G_c$ [38, 39]. We will not discuss here their implications in the theory of Integrable systems.

Another important class of singular operators that related to this theory - singular operators on two-dimensional surfaces transversal to Σ_g [15, 16]. Some special types of these operators correspond to coadjoint orbits at the marked points of Σ_g . They are related to the spin variables at the marked points. It was explained in [15] that in a neighborhood of a marked point the Hitchin equations can be written in the form of the Nahm equations. In this way the part of the phase spaces related to the spin variables can be described in terms of the moduli space of solutions of the Nahm equation.

Summarizing we repeat the correspondence between objects in gauge theories and classical integrable systems.

⁷Because Σ is a standard notation for basic spectral curves, our notations are opposite to [14], where Σ is denoted as C and C as Σ .

Yang – Mills theory

Integrable systems

Gauge group

Hidden symmetry

2d surface

Basic spectral curve

Higgs fields

Lax operators

t'Hoof operators

Modification

Rigid surface operators

Spin variables

Moduli parameters

Coordinates of particles

Supersymmetry conditions

Hitchin equations

S – duality

*Duality between Hitchin systems
and monodromy preserving equations
for dual groups*

9 Appendix. A piece of group theory [40, 41]

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra of a simple complex Lie group G , $\mathfrak{h}$ its Cartan subalgebra, ($\dim(\mathfrak{h})$ is a rank of G). Let $\mathfrak{h}^*$ be a dual to $\mathfrak{h}$ space, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a pairing between $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^*$. A finite system of vectors $R = \{\alpha\}$ in $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is called a root system, if

1. R generates $\mathfrak{h}^*$;
2. For any $\alpha \in R$ there exists a coroot $\alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2$ and the reflection in $\mathfrak{h}^*$

$$s_\alpha : x \mapsto x - \langle x, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha \quad (\text{A.1})$$

preserving R ;

3. $\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $\beta \in R$;
4. For $\alpha \in R$ $n\alpha \in R$ iff $n = \pm 1$.

The dual system $R^\vee = \{\alpha^\vee\}$ is the root system in $\mathfrak{h}$. The group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ generated by reflections (A.1) is the Weyl group $W(R)$. The groups $W(R)$ and $W(R^\vee)$ are isomorphic.

Define a basis $\Pi = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$ of simple roots in R such that any $\alpha \in R$ is decomposed in this basis as

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^l n_j^\alpha \alpha_j, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and all m_j are positive (in this case α is a positive root), or negative (α is a negative root). In other words the root system is an union of positive and negative roots $R = R^+ \cup R^-$.

Let S^W be an algebra of polynomials on $\mathfrak{h}$ invariant with respect to W -action. There exists a basis in S^W of l homogeneous polynomials of degrees $d_1 = 2, d_2, \dots, d_l$. The degrees are unequally defined by the root system R . The number of roots can be read off from the degrees

$$\# R = 2 \sum_{i=1}^l (d_i - 1). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Let $Q = \sum_{j=1}^n n_j \alpha_j$, ($n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_j \in \Pi$) be a root lattice in $\mathfrak{h}^*$. The simple coroots $\Pi^\vee = (\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_l^\vee)$ generate the coroot lattice in $\mathfrak{h}$

$$Q^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^n n_j \alpha_j^\vee \subset \mathfrak{h}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The fundamental weights $\varpi_j \in \mathfrak{H}^*$, $(j = 1, \dots, n)$ form a dual basis in $\mathfrak{H}^*$ $\langle \varpi_j, \alpha_k^\vee \rangle = \delta_{jk}$. They generate the weight lattice $P = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \varpi_j \subset \mathfrak{H}^*$. In other words, P is dual to the coroot lattice $Q^\vee$. Similarly, the coweight lattice

$$P^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \varpi_j^\vee, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \langle \varpi_j^\vee, \alpha_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

This lattice is dual to the root lattice Q .

Root decomposition

The algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has the root decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{H} + \mathfrak{L}, \quad \mathfrak{L} = \sum_{\beta \in R} c_\beta E_\beta, \quad c_\beta \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Here $c_\beta E_\beta$ are root subspaces. It follows from (A.3) that

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} = \sum_{i=1}^l (2d_i - 1). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The Chevalley basis in $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by

$$\{E_{\beta_j}, \beta_j \in R, \quad H_{\alpha_k} = \alpha_k^\vee \in \Pi^\vee\}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

To construct the basis consider $H_{\alpha_k} = \alpha_k^\vee$, $E_{\pm\alpha_j}$, $(\alpha_j \in \Pi)$. They generate the basis for the whole algebra. The commutation relations for these generators take the form

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & [H_{\alpha_k}, H_{\alpha_j}] = 0, \\ (ii) \quad & [E_{\alpha_k}, -E_{\alpha_k}] = H_{\alpha_k}, \\ (iii) \quad & [H_{\alpha_k}, E_{\pm\alpha_j}] = \pm a_{jk} E_{\pm\alpha_j}, \\ (iv) \quad & (ad(E_{\pm\alpha_i})^{1-a_{ji}}(E_{\pm\alpha_j}) = 0, \quad (i \neq j). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Let B be a Borel subgroup of G . It is generated by Cartan subgroup of G and by negative root subspaces $\exp(\sum_{\alpha \in R^-} E_\alpha)$. The coset space $Fl = G/B$ is called *the flag variety*. It has dimension (see (A.3))

$$\dim Fl = \sum_{j=1}^l (d_j - 1). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The coadjoint orbits

$$\mathcal{O} = \{Ad_g^* S_0 \mid g \in G, \quad S_0 \text{ is a fixed element of } \mathfrak{g}^*\}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

is a generalization of a cotangent bundle to the flag varieties,⁸ and for generic orbits

$$\dim \mathcal{O} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^l (d_j - 1). \quad (\text{A.12})$$

⁸It is a cotangent bundle if S_0 is a Jordan element. If S_0 is semisimple, then $\mathcal{O}$ is the so-called torsor over Fl .

Characters and cocharacters.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Cartan subgroup $\mathcal{H} \subset G$. Define the group of characters⁹

$$\Gamma(G) = \{\chi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*\}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

This group can be identified with a lattice group in $\mathfrak{H}^*$ as follows. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l)$ be an element of $\mathfrak{H}$, and $\exp 2\pi i \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{H}$. Define $\gamma \in \mathfrak{H}^*$ such that

$$\chi = \exp 2\pi i \langle \gamma, \mathbf{x} \rangle \in \Gamma(G). \quad (\text{A.14})$$

This map is well defined only for discrete values of γ . For example, for $\bar{G} = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ $\mathcal{H} = \text{diag}(\exp 2\pi i x, \exp -2\pi i x)$, where $0 \leq x < 1$. Then $\chi = \exp 2\pi i k x$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $G^{ad} = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\text{diag}(-1, -1)$ $\chi = \exp 2\pi i k x$, where $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\bar{G}$ be the universal covering group of G and G^{ad} is the adjoint group ($G^{ad} = \bar{G}/\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G})$, $\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G})$ is a center of $\bar{G}$). Let μ_l be a subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G})$ such that G , or in more details, G_l is a factor-group

$$G = G_l = \bar{G}/\mu_l. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

It means that nontrivial groups $G \approx G^{ad}$, $\bar{G}$ can arise only in A_{n-1} (n is not prime) and D_n cases.

Characters of $\bar{G}$ and G^{ad} are

$$\Gamma(\bar{G}) = P, \quad \Gamma(G^{ad}) = Q, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

and $\Gamma(G^{ad}) \subseteq \Gamma(G) \subseteq \Gamma(\bar{G})$. The fundamental weights ϖ_k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) (simple roots α_k) form a basis in $\Gamma(\bar{G})$ ($\Gamma(G^{ad})$). Let p be a divisor of $\text{ord}(\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G}))$ such that $l = \text{ord}(\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G}))/p$. Then the lattice $\Gamma(G)$ is defined as

$$\Gamma(G) = Q + \varpi, \quad p\varpi \in Q. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Define the dual groups of co-characters $t(G) = \Gamma^*(G)$ as holomorphic maps

$$t(G) = \{\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\}. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

In another way $t(G)$ is defined as the kernel of the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$

$$t(G) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{H} \mid \exp(2\pi i \mathbf{x}) = 1\}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

We find from (A.16) that the groups $t(\bar{G})$ and $t(G^{ad})$ are the coroot and the coweight lattices

$$t(\bar{G}) = Q^\vee, \quad t(G^{ad}) = P^\vee. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Thus a generic element of $t(G)$ takes the form

$$z^\gamma = \exp 2\pi i \gamma \ln z \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \gamma \in P^\vee \text{ or } Q^\vee. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

In the intermediate case we have $t(\bar{G}) \subseteq t(G) \subseteq t(G^{ad})$. It follows from (A.17) that

$$t(G_l) = Q^\vee + \varpi^\vee, \quad l\varpi^\vee \in Q^\vee. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

⁹ The holomorphic maps of $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\chi(h_1 h_2) = \chi(h_1) \chi(h_2)$ for $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$.

It follows from (A.9), that $Ad_{\zeta=\exp 2\pi i\gamma}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ for $\gamma \in P^\vee$. On the other hand ζ is a nontrivial element in $\bar{G}$ and $\zeta = 1$ in G^{ad} (see (A.19) and (A.20)). Therefore,

$$\zeta = \exp 2\pi i\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}(\bar{G}) \text{ for } \gamma \in P^\vee. \quad (\text{A.23})$$

In fact,

$$\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G}) = P^\vee/t(\bar{G}) \sim P^\vee/Q^\vee. \quad (\text{A.24})$$

In general, the center $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ of G is

$$\mathcal{Z}(G) \sim P^\vee/t(G) = \mu_p. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

All groups except of G_2 , F_4 and E_8 have nontrivial centers.

Table 1
Centers of universal covering groups
($\mu_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$)

G	$\text{Lie}(G)$	$\mathcal{Z}(G)$
$\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$	A_{n-1}	μ_n
$\text{Spin}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	B_n	μ_2
$\text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{C})$	C_n	μ_2
$\text{Spin}_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$	D_{2n}	$\mu_2 \oplus \mu_2$
$\text{Spin}_{4n+2}(\mathbb{C})$	D_{2n+1}	μ_4
$E_6(\mathbb{C})$	E_6	μ_3
$E_7(\mathbb{C})$	E_7	μ_2

The root system R of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$, the group of characters $\Gamma(G)$ and cocharacters $t(G)$ are called *the root data*. A *Langlands dual* to G group ${}^L G$ is defined by the root data $R^\vee$ and

$$t({}^L G) \sim \Gamma(G), \quad \Gamma({}^L G) \sim t(G). \quad (\text{A.26})$$

If $G_l = \bar{G}/\mu_l$, then ${}^L G_l = G_p = \bar{G}/\mu_p$, where $pl = \text{ord}(\mathcal{Z}(\bar{G}))$. In particular, ${}^L \bar{G} = G^{ad}$.

Table 2
Duality in simple groups

Root system	G	${}^L G$
$a_n, N = n + 1 = pl$	$G_l = \text{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})/\mu_l$	$G_p = \text{SL}(N, \mathbb{C})/\mu_p$
b_n	$\text{Spin}(2n + 1)$	$\text{Sp}(n)/\mu_2$
c_n	$\text{Sp}(n)$	$\text{SO}(2n + 1)$
d_{2l+1}	$\text{Spin}(4l + 2)$	$\text{SO}(4l + 2)/\mu_2$
	$\text{SO}(4l + 2)$	$\text{SO}(4l + 2)$
d_{2l}	$\text{Spin}(4l)$	$\text{SO}(4l)/\mu_2$
	$\text{SO}(4l)$	$\text{SO}(4l)$
d_{4l}	$\text{Spin}^L(8l)$	$\text{Spin}^L(8l)$
	$\text{Spin}^R(8l)$	$\text{Spin}^R(8l)$
d_{4l+2}	$\text{Spin}^L(8m + 4)$	$\text{Spin}^R(8m + 4)$
g_2	G_2	G_2
f_4	F_4	F_4
e_6	E_6	E_6/μ_3
e_7	E_7	E_7/μ_2
e_8	E_8	E_8

References

- [1] Nikita A. Nekrasov, Samson L. Shatashvili, *Quantization of Integrable Systems and Four Dimensional Gauge Theories*, arXiv:0908.4052.
- [2] Anton A. Gerasimov, S. Shatashvili, *Higgs Bundles, Gauge Theories and Quantum Groups*, arXiv:hep-th/0609024.
- [3] A.V. Belitsky, V.M. Braun, A.S. Gorsky, G.P. Korchemsky, *Integrability in QCD and beyond*, arXiv:hep-th/0407232.
- [4] N. Hitchin, *Stable bundles and integrable systems*, Duke Math. Jour. **54** (1987), 91-114.
- [5] N. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **55** (1987) 59-126.
- [6] E. Markman, *Spectral curves and integrable systems*, Comp. Math. **93**, (1994) 255-290.
- [7] A. Gorsky, N. Nekrasov, *Elliptic Calogero-Moser system from two dimensional current algebra*, [hep-th/9401021].
- [8] B. Enriquez and V. Rubtsov, *Hitchin systems, higher Gaudin operators and R-matrices*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), 343-357.
- [9] N. Nekrasov, *Holomorphic Bundles and Many-Body Systems*, Commun.Math.Phys. **180** (1996), 587-604, [hep-th/9503157].
- [10] A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, *Isomonodromic deformations and Hitchin Systems*, Amer.Math.Soc.Transl.(2), **191** (1999) 223-262.
- [11] I. Krichever, *Vector bundles and Lax equations on algebraic curves* Commun.Math.Phys. **229**, (2002), 229-269, [hep-th/0108110].
- [12] A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, A. Zotov, *Hitchin Systems - Symplectic Hecke Correspondence and Two-dimensional Version*, Comm.Math.Phys **236** (2003) 93-133.
- [13] A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, A. Zotov, *Painleve VI, Rigid Tops and Reflection Equation*, Commun.Math.Phys. **268** (2006) 67-103.
- [14] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, *Electric-magnetic duality and the geometric Langlands program*, [arXiv:hep-th/0604151].
- [15] S. Gukov and E. Witten, *Gauge Theory, Ramification, And The Geometric Langlands Program*. hep-th/0612073
- [16] S. Gukov and E. Witten, *Rigid Surface Operators* hep-th/08041561
- [17] V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Springer, (1978).
- [18] R. Donagi, *Siebrg-Witten Integrable Systems*, [arXiv:alg-geom/9705010].
- [19] T. Eguchi, P. Gilkey, A. Hanson, *Gravitation, gauge theories and differential geometry*, Phys.Reports, **66**, (1980), 213-393.
- [20] P. Griffiths and J. Harris *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley (1978).
- [21] E. Witten, *Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial*, Commun.Math.Phys., **121** (1989) 351-399.
- [22] G. Faltings, *Stable G-bundles and projective connections*, J.Alg.Geo., **2**, (1993), 507-568.
- [23] P. Dirac *Lectures on quantum mechanics*, (1967) Yeshiva Univ., NY, Academic Press.
- [24] A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, A. Smirnov, A. Zotov, *Characteristic classes and integrable systems*, (in preparation)

- [25] Yu. Chernyakov, A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, A. Zotov, *Elliptic Schlesinger system and Painlevé VI*, Journ.Phys. A, **39** (2006), 12083-120102.
- [26] A. Levin, A. Zotov, *On Rational and Elliptic Forms of Painlevé VI Equation*, Moscow seminar on mathematical physics, II, 2007 Amer. Math Soc. Transl. (2) **221**, 2007.
- [27] A. Levin, and A. Zotov, *Integrable systems of interacting elliptic tops*, Theor. Math.Phys., **146:1**, (2006), 55-64.
- [28] R. Garnier, *Etude de l'intégrale générale de l'équation VI de M. Painlevé dans le voisinage de ses singularité transcendentes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **34** (1917), 239-353.
- [29] P. Boutroux, *Recherches sur les transcendentes des M. Painlevé et l'étude asymptotique des équations différentielles du second ordre*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3) **30** (1913)
- [30] H. Flaschka and A. Newell, *Monodromy- and spectrum-preserving deformations, I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **76** (1980), 65-116.
- [31] I. Krichever, *The dispersionless Lax equations and topological minimal models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **143** (1992), 415-429;
The tau -function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math. **47** (1994), 437-475;
- [32] I. Krichever, *The τ -function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories* Commun.Pure Appl.Math.**47**, (1994), 437.
- [33] K. Takasaki, *Dual Isomonodromic Problems and Whitham Equations*, Lett.Math.Phys. **43** (1998) 123-135.
- [34] I. Krichever, *Isomonodromy equations on algebraic curves, canonical transformations and Whitham equations*, hep-th/0112096.
- [35] D. Arinkin, *On λ -connections on a curve where λ is a formal parameter*, Math. Res. Lett. **12**, (2005), 551–565.
- [36] S. P. Novikov, *Quantization of finite-gap potentials and non-linear quasi-classical approximation in nonperturbative string theory*, Func.Anal. Appl. **24** (1990), 296-306.
- [37] A. Levin, M. Olshanetsky, A. Zotov, *Monopoles and Modifications of Bundles over Elliptic Curves*, SIGMA **5** (2009), 065 arXiv:0811.3056.
- [38] C. Montonen, D. Olive, *Magnetic Monopoles as Gauge Particles?* Phys.Lett.**B72**, (1977) 117.
- [39] A. Kapustin *Wilson-'t Hooft operators in four-dimensional gauge theories and S-duality*, Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 025005, arXiv:hep-th/0501015.
- [40] A. Oniscik, and E. Vinberg, *Seminar on Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Moscow (1988), (in Russian) English transl. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1990).
- [41] N. Bourbaki, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras: Chapters 4-6*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (2002).